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The effects of swirl on internal turbulent flows are studied by conducting experiments on turbulent pipe flow with variable initial swirl. This first part of the study is primarily concerned with similarity laws. The mean velocity profiles, both away from and close to the wall, are found to adroit similarity representations at sufficiently large Reynolds numbers, provided that flow reversal does not take place near the entrance. While the wall law is not sensibly dependent on swirl, the velocity defect law in its extended form is sensitive to swirl. Further, a logarithmic skin-friction law is obtained in which only the additive coefficient depends on swirl. This coefficient is found to vary linearly with the swirl angle in the range of the present experiments.

1. Introduction

Several applications have intensified interest in swirling turbulent flows. The possibility of increasing the heat-transfer rate in a heat exchanger by introducing swirl without paying the penalty of excessive skin friction has motivated several investigations (for example, Kreith & Margolis 1959; Smithberg & Landis 1964; Novog & Golubev 1970). Sensitivity of duct discharge to swirl has led to the development of certain fluidic devices and to investigations of the thrust control of rocket nozzles (Mager 1971). Recent interest in optimization of high speed centrifuges has also triggered investigations (Krause & Hirai 1970).

This paper deals with swirling turbulent flow in a stationary pipe, which is one of the simplest swirling turbulent flows and which can be controlled sufficiently well for careful experiments. Further, it could give insight into the properties of three-dimensional turbulent boundary layers.

Decay of angular momentum in a swirling pipe flow was the theme of some of the early investigations. Talbot (1954) analysed the laminar case on the assumption that the axial velocity profile was slightly disturbed by swirl, and the predicted exponential decay was supported by experiments (see also Kiy, Fukusako & Arie 1971). A similar analysis was carried out for turbulent flow by Kreith & Sain (1965), on the basis of an assumed axial mean velocity profile given by the power law with a small perturbation, and an assumed eddy viscosity for the tangential direction. The decay of angular momentum measured by a vane rotor agreed well with the predictions (see also Wolf, Lavan & Feser 1969).

Effects of swirl on skin friction and heat transfer have also been investigated.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Approximate pipe diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Length/ diameter</th>
<th>Re</th>
<th>Fluid</th>
<th>Swirl generation</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Talbot (1954)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>170-2700</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Rotating a section of test pipe</td>
<td>V by motion-picture studies, stability of swirl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennis (1957)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>60-260</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Rotating a section of test pipe</td>
<td>Flow visualization by dye injection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koch (1958)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^4 - 5 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>Twisted tapes</td>
<td>Friction factor by pressure-drop measurements and heat-transfer measurements. $H/D = 5.0 - 22.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kreith &amp; Margolis (1959)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>$10^4 - 10^6$</td>
<td>Air and water</td>
<td>Coiled wires and twisted tapes</td>
<td>Friction factor by pressure-drop measurements and heat-transfer measurements. $H/D = 5.2 - 14.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smithberg &amp; Landis (1964)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$6 \times 10^4 - 6 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>Air and water</td>
<td>Twisted tapes</td>
<td>Friction factor by pressure-drop measurements and heat-transfer measurements. $H/D = 3.6 - 22.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kreith &amp; Sonju (1965)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$10^4 - 10^5$</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Twisted tapes</td>
<td>Average swirl using swirl-vane meter. $H/D = 0.15$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sachdeva (1968)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>$10^4 - 10^5$</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Tangential inlets</td>
<td>U, V, friction factor by pressure-drop measurements. Flow visualization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Summary of major swirl flow experiments
For instance, Koch (1958), Kreith & Margolis (1959) and Smithberg & Landis (1964) reported skin-friction data. Parameters governing their experiments are compared with those of the present experiments in table 1.

Very few velocity measurements have been reported. In one set of experiments (Rochino & Lavan 1969; Wolf et al. 1969), qualitative results obtained with static and impulse probes, which were aligned in the flow direction indicated by yaw probes, showed a flat axial velocity profile and an almost linear variation of tangential velocity away from the wall.

The striking phenomenon of flow reversal attracted considerable attention. Following early observations of Nittal (1953), Binnie & Terae (1956), Binnie (1957) and Gore & Ranz (1964) made detailed observations and confirmed the possibility of a central cylindrical region of reversed axial flow. Experiments of Sachdeva (1968) have shown that reversed flow can occur in an annular region.

Clearly, the effect of swirl on axial flow can indeed be very large and an analysis based on small perturbations in axial velocity is restricted in scope. A search for an alternative and possibly more general basis suggested similarity as a conceivable property which could be exploited both for calculating data as well as for the formulation of analysis. Hence a major concern of this paper is to study similarity properties experimentally.†

Several alternative approaches have been attempted. For instance, Lavan and his co-workers (Lavan, Nielsen & Fejer 1969) carried out an analysis based on small perturbations of solid-body rotation for small axial Reynolds numbers up to about 20. In another investigation, Rochino & Lavan (1969) analysed the flow using an empirical axial velocity profile which does not change in the streamwise direction. Modified vorticity transport theory and von Kármán's local similarity hypothesis were also employed. Discrepancies however appeared when the flow was predominantly irrotational or in solid-body rotation.

Finite-difference computational schemes (for instance, Siddhartha 1971) have also been used with suitable closure hypotheses. But the reliability of such computations is seriously affected by the nature of closure hypothesis describing three-dimensional flows.

The plan of this study is to describe experiments on similarity properties in the first part and further experiments on the effect of swirl on turbulence flow development and effects of flow reversal in the second part. The next section of this paper contains a description of the experimental technique. The suitability of various swirl parameters is discussed in §3. A critical discussion of similarity measurement in swirling flows is given in §4. Since similarity representation can be interpreted as a state which the flow attains asymptotically at large distances from the entrance, various alternative modes are discussed in §5. The results are finally given in the last section.

† Kinney (1967) extended von Kármán's similarity hypothesis in order to establish conditions under which universal velocity similarity exists for plane rotating turbulent flow. The similarity laws which are investigated in this paper are distinct from the closure hypothesis considered by Kinney.

2. Apparatus and experimental procedure

2.1. General

The apparatus consisted essentially of a pipe with a length of approximately 100 diameters connected to an entry section on the upstream side and a centrifugal blower and an orifice meter on the downstream side (figure 1). The initial swirl was controlled by adjustable guide vanes in the entry section, and the flow rate was controlled by an adjustable end resistance at the outlet of the orifice meter. A maximum Reynolds number Re (based on the pipe diameter and average velocity) of 2·6 x 10^6 could be obtained. Also, a maximum angle between the local mean velocity at the central station and the pipe axis of 15° was obtainable.

Mean velocity profile measurements were carried out using standard probes at three stations I, II and III at 25, 50 and 75 diameters from the entry section. Several methods of measuring the local axial skin friction were tried. Their effectiveness is discussed in §4.

2.2. Details of the apparatus

Two transparent perspex pipes of length 6 m, internal diameter 120·5 mm, and wall thickness 3 mm were used in the apparatus. Variations in pipe diameter were within about one per cent. The two pipes were carefully connected and held on a rigid frame.

The wooden contraction cone of the entry section (figure 2, plate 1) had a surface of revolution generated by a cubic curve. Two disks of diameter 86 cm spaced 8 mm apart guided the incoming air, giving a contraction ratio of 39. There were 8 guide vanes of chord 15 cm at a circumferential pitch of 3·6 cm which could be turned equally by a specially designed mechanism. Guide vanes have several advantages over other types of swirl generators. Unlike twisted tape or coiled
wire, they do not create secondary flows. Departures from rotational symmetry can be reduced by increasing the number of vanes. Continuous control of the initial swirl provided by the vanes may be useful in some experiments.

A centrifugal blower was connected at the downstream end through a flexible connexion. After extensive checks and modifications, a steady drift and vibration-free operation could be obtained over several hours.

Earlier studies (Nigam 1970; Vajnik & Subbiah 1971) were carried out in the same apparatus, but with another entry section and a slightly different test section. Some representative data from these studies, shown by flagged symbols, are included here, mainly to show that the observations are not sensitive to details of initial conditions.

2.3. Unsteadiness in presence of swirl

In the early stages, unsteadiness was observed in the central region of the pipe, particularly near the entry section, probably owing to unsteady separation on the end disk of the entry section. A cone with a cubic profile was designed to stabilize the flow and to provide a gradual reduction in cross-sectional area (figure 2). Unsteadiness was markedly diminished after the installation of the cone.

2.4. Probes and their calibration

A five-holed conical-head probe of diameter 6 mm was first made for the three-dimensional flow measurements, particularly near the entry section. Velocity profile measurements at station 1 indicated that the maximum radial velocity was less than about 1% of the axial velocity for swirl angles up to 15°. Since most of the measurements were carried out in this range of swirl angle, a simpler two-dimensional probe was used in further measurements. The three-tube probe was carefully made from stainless-steel tubes of 0.45 mm inner and 0.82 mm outer diameter, the chamfer angle being 45°. A null type of calibration (Bryer, Walsh & Garner 1968) obtained in a 90 × 60 cm tunnel yielded a calibration curve which was well approximated by a linear relation \( q = K(p_0 - p_1) \). \( p_0 \) and \( p_1 \) being central and side tube pressures. \( K \) was found by a least-squares fit.

Velocity profiles were measured with cobra and pitot probes in pipe flow without swirl at several Reynolds numbers. A typical comparison given in figure 3 shows that the errors due to using uniform-flow calibration are acceptably small.

3. Swirl parameter

Early studies described swirl in terms of the geometrical parameters of the swirl generating device like the pitch-to-diameter ratio \( H/D \) of a twisted tape or the inlet guide vane angle. An interesting example of this type of parameter is a Dean number based on twisted-tape geometry (Shchukin 1967). Such parameters however do not facilitate the comparison of data obtained with different types of generators. Further, they often describe the initial swirl rather than swirl at a downstream section.

The angular velocity of a freely turning vane located at a given section describes the swirl at the section in some average sense. This measure has been successfully used in studies on swirl decay (Kreith & Sonju 1965). The device needs calibration and possibly interference studies. Also, the use of a vane at a section is not convenient if velocity profile measurements are desired at the same section.

Another measure of swirl, which will be called the swirl number \( S \), can be defined in terms of angular and axial momentum fluxes associated with the mean flow as

\[
S = \int_0^R UVr^2 dr \int_0^R U^2 r^2 dr.
\]

Here \( U \) and \( V \) are the axial and tangential components of the mean velocity at a given section at a point a distance \( r \) from the axis and \( R \) is the pipe radius. The swirl number has the major conceptual advantage of possessing a clear physical meaning.

A somewhat similar quantity \( S' \) has also been used:

\[
S' = \int_0^R UVr dr \int_0^R U^2 r dr.
\]
However, the integral in the numerator, which is termed the 'tangential momentum flux', makes the quantity difficult to interpret.†

If we define an axial velocity scale $U_a$ and an angular velocity scale $\Omega$ by

$$
\pi \rho U_a^2 R^2 = 2\pi \int_0^R \rho U_r^2 r \, dr,
$$

$$
\pi \rho U_a \Omega R^4 = 2\pi \int_0^R \rho U V_r^2 r \, dr,
$$

the swirl number is seen to be $\Omega R/U_a$, or the inverse of the well-known Rossby number. If other scales are used in defining the Rossby number, its relationship with the swirl number may not remain so direct and may also involve the Reynolds number.

It was realized during the course of the experiments that a parameter which does not involve integrals would offer considerable operational advantages. The flow angle $\phi$ is the angle between the pipe axis and the mean flow direction.

† $V_r$ is the component of angular momentum per unit mass in the axial direction for all points in a section, but $V$ is a component of momentum per unit mass in a direction which varies from point to point at a section. Hence it is difficult to attach any significance to an integral like

$$
\int_0^R U V_r \, dr.
$$

Reference point was selected at 5% of the pipe radius from the wall† and the corresponding flow angle is called the swirl angle $\phi_s$ in subsequent sections.

Figure 4 shows the variation of the swirl number with the swirl angle for stations I, II and III and for several Reynolds numbers. Since all points, except those for $\phi_s = 13.5^\circ$, lie practically on a straight line, $S$ is completely determined by $\phi_s$ for the present experiments. The exceptional points are discussed later. Similarly $S'$ is also completely determined to a first approximation by $\phi_s$.

Figure 5 shows flow-angle $\phi$ profiles for several flow rates. When the vane angle and the flow rate were changed without changing $\phi_s$, $\phi$ profiles away from

† At this location, $\phi$ was close to its maximum value $\phi_{max}$, which also could be used as a tool measure. However, for geometrical reasons the measurement of flow angles very close to the wall was not possible.
the wall and the swirl number did not change except in one case, when \( \phi_s \) was 13.5\(^\circ\). Unusual variations were also observed in the tangential velocity profiles for the exceptional case (figure 13), which was found to be associated with flow reversal near the entry section. The similarity studies were carried out for a range of initial conditions which excluded flow reversal. Since \( \phi_s \) determines \( S \) under the experimental conditions, it may be used to characterize swirl at a station.

The use of the swirl angle resulted in considerable experimental facility. For instance, changes in the Reynolds number keeping \( \phi_s \) constant were brought about by changing the three-tube probe at \( Y/R = 0.05 \) in the direction \( \phi_s \) and by changing the flow rate and the initial vane angle until the flow direction coincided with the probe direction. Since the swirl number involves integrals, changes in the Reynolds number keeping \( S \) fixed would require a trial-and-error procedure involving several profile measurements.

4. Measurement of skin friction

Measurement of skin friction in three-dimensional flows is well-known to have attendant difficulties (e.g. Brown & Joubert 1969). Since a certain amount of uncertainty was unavoidable, two methods were used with extensive checks with and without swirl. These checks, some of which are reported in the next section, give us confidence in the measured skin-friction values.

The mean flow direction close to the wall was inclined at an angle less than about 15\(^\circ\) to the pipe axis at the stations in the range of the present experiments. Hence, the tangential skin friction was expected to be much smaller than the axial component. Attention was therefore focused on the measurement of the latter.

A common method of measurement relates the axial skin friction to the pressure drop by the relation

\[
C_I = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho U_m^2} = \frac{\Delta p}{2 \rho U_m^2 L/d} = \frac{1}{\lambda}.
\]

\( U_m \) is the cross-sectional average of the axial mean velocity, \( \tau_w \) is the wall shear stress, \( \rho \) is the fluid density, \( \lambda \) is the axial skin-friction coefficient and \( L/d \) is the inner diameter of the pipe. The static pressure drop \( \Delta p \) is over a horizontal length \( L \). If the pressure gradient \( \Delta p \) has been shown to be some average measure of the difference in static pressure between the initial and final sections. Most skin-friction data reported in the literature on swirling pipe flow use the above relation.

The static pressure is usually measured by wall taps separated by a distance of the order of 50 diameters.

The above relation is exact if the axial momentum fluxes at the two sections are equal and the skin friction is uniform over the length \( L \). While these conditions are satisfied in fully developed zero-swirl pipe flow, swirl introduces three effects which give rise to errors. First, the decay of swirl leads to variations in skin friction and hence the average skin-friction coefficient given by the above relation, in general, differs from the local skin-friction coefficient at the mid-way section. Second, the axial velocity profile changes with the decay of swirl and

![Figure 6. Comparison of friction velocities obtained by three methods. No swirl, station II: \( \cdot \), from \( \Delta p \) measured using wall taps; \( \circ \), from \( \Delta p \) measured using static head probes; \( - - - - \), by Bradshaw's method; \( \ldots \ldots \ldots \), estimate from Ludwig Tollmann formulas. \( \phi_s = 9.2\(^\circ\), station II; \( \circ \), from \( \Delta p \) measured using static head probes; \( - - - - \), by Bradshaw's method.](image)

the momentum fluxes at the initial and final sections are generally different, although the difference is expected to be small. Third, the presence of the radial pressure gradient which accompanies swirl may make the average pressure drop slightly different from the drop measured at the wall or at a chosen radius. In addition, there is the usual entry-length effect.

Figure 6 shows skin-friction values obtained from the wall taps for three values of \( L/d \). The taps were located symmetrically about station II. A slight drop in the measured skin friction with increasing \( L/d \) was a typical feature found at Reynolds numbers and swirl conditions. Since the taps were in a 6 m long pipe, the geometry was difficult to control, which might have led to errors in the measurement of the static pressure drop (Rayle 1949). Hence, static pressure probes were used at the initial and final sections at a distance of half the pipe radius from the axis. When swirl was present, they were aligned in the flow direction found by the three-tube probe. Skin-friction values obtained by this method were lower than the earlier set and the trend for a slight decrease with increases in \( L/d \) was also present. These values are regarded as more accurate than the wall tap readings.

Methods based on wall similarity form another important class. It is assumed that the axial velocity in the wall co-ordinates follows a logarithmic law in the overlap region with friction velocity defined in terms of the axial skin friction and if the coefficients of the law are known \textit{a priori}, the method of Chausser (1954) & Bradshaw (1959) can be used. Bradshaw's method was adopted for its known simplicity.

Figure 6 compares the values obtained from this method with those from the other two methods. The values obtained from static pressure probes agreed with those obtained by Bradshaw's method to a greater extent than the values from
wall tap measurements. Also, comparisons for the swirl case showed a similar trend. (A typical estimate based on the Ludwig Tillman formula is also given in figure 6 for the zero-swirl case.)

While other methods based on wall similarity could be used, they were not seriously tried. Some of them seemed to have disadvantages for the present problem. For instance, the Preston tube has to be aligned along the pipe axis and the tangential velocity would lead to certain additional averaging errors.

It has also to be pointed out that the general form for wall similarity for three-dimensional flows has not been definitely established. But since the flow direction close to the wall differs from the axial direction by less than 15°, errors of this type are not likely to exceed 5%.

Values based on Bradshaw's method were used for the scaling of the wall and defect laws considered in §6. They were found to give internally consistent results.

5. Flow away from entry

Several options are available for describing the flow away from the entry section when flow reversal is absent and it is advantageous to discuss them before discussing the results of the experiments. Our interest is in the region away from the entry section, where the geometrical and dynamic details of the entry conditions do not have significant consequences. At the same time, if the mode of description for large distances is such that common pipe lengths used in the laboratory or applications are not sufficient, then such a mode is of little interest.

The simplest mode of description is a fully developed flow, which may be defined as a state in which the axial and tangential velocity profiles, Reynolds stress profiles and mean pressure gradient do not change with the axial distance. The invariance of the tangential velocity implies an invariant angular momentum flux. Since the tangential component of pipe skin friction goes on decreasing the angular momentum flux, such a state is asymptotically attained after the swirl has decayed to insignificant levels. This flow is identical with the well-known zero-swirl flow. Also, the experiments clearly show that swirl persists over lengths of the order of 100 diameters, which would normally be used in the laboratory or applications. Hence such a notion of fully developed flow is not useful for the present problem.

Another mode would be local similarity, according to which the mean flow profiles at a section are completely determined in terms of certain properties of the flow at the section. These properties can be used as local parameters to describe the flow and no additional information about initial conditions is required. This mode of description has been successfully used in other turbulent shear flows (e.g. Townsend 1956, p. 89) and is adopted here.†

Still another mode would be a state in which the initial conditions influence the flow through certain gross parameters, but the details of the initial conditions

† As the local parameters may change with axial distance, the velocity profiles may also change their shape and need not be geometrically similar. Another point to note is that the changes in the local parameters with axial distance may depend on initial conditions.

Table 2. Summary of parameters for test runs. Streamwise turbulent intensity and spectrum measurements also were made for runs 3, 16 and 19. Runs 19 and 20 are for the same initial swirl and Reynolds number, the velocity profile data of the latter being incomplete on account of flow reversal. Runs 11, 16 and 17 correspond to the same initial vane setting and approximately the same Reynolds number.

6. Results and discussion†

Figure 7 shows axial velocity profiles in wall co-ordinates. The origins are shifted for clarity. Zero-swirl measurements are seen to be in good agreement with the predictions. Measurements were largely restricted to the inner core of the flow, where the velocity profiles are largely parabolic. Zero-swirl measurements were made for the same flow conditions as in the present study, and the results are in excellent agreement with the predictions. The agreement is particularly good for the core region of the flow, where the velocity profiles are largely parabolic. The zero-swirl measurements also confirm the predictions for the outer region of the flow, where the velocity profiles are largely parabolic. The agreement is particularly good for the core region of the flow, where the velocity profiles are largely parabolic.

† Table 2 gives important parameters of the test runs. Figures show only representative data from the runs for the sake of clarity. Measurements were largely restricted to the inner core of the flow, where the velocity profiles are largely parabolic. Zero-swirl measurements were made for the same flow conditions as in the present study, and the results are in excellent agreement with the predictions. The agreement is particularly good for the core region of the flow, where the velocity profiles are largely parabolic. The zero-swirl measurements also confirm the predictions for the outer region of the flow, where the velocity profiles are largely parabolic. The agreement is particularly good for the core region of the flow, where the velocity profiles are largely parabolic. The zero-swirl measurements also confirm the predictions for the outer region of the flow, where the velocity profiles are largely parabolic. The agreement is particularly good for the core region of the flow, where the velocity profiles are largely parabolic.
logarithmic law in the overlap region. When swirl is present, the profiles in the overlap region continue to obey the logarithmic law. The range over which the profile is logarithmic depends on the Reynolds number and swirl. Hence the extent of the wall region may depend on the swirl, but within this region, the wall law in the overlap region does not show any detectable effect of swirl.

Since Bradshaw's method, which assumed wall similarity, was used for finding the friction velocity $U_f$, the reasoning may appear circular. But the pressure-drop methods which do not assume wall similarity also gave values of the skin friction close to the values used here, as discussed in §4. Further, since the flow direction makes an angle of less than $15^\circ$ with the pipe axis, the observations would also be compatible with slightly different similarity representations (for instance, one obtained by using the velocity component in the direction of the skin friction rather than the axial component).

6.2. Core region

The typical axial velocity profiles with swirl given in figure 8 show that the maximum velocity need not occur at the pipe axis. As the swirl increases, the maximum velocity location shifts from the axis, giving rise to a valley in the velocity profile. Clearly, with a further increase in swirl, negative axial velocities would appear near the axis.

If the normalized axial velocity $(U/U_{ax})$ profile depends only on $\phi_s$ and $Re$, profiles obtained for two initial swirls at two different stations would collapse on each other, provided $\phi_s$ and $Re$ had the same values at the two stations. Figure 9 shows that this condition is satisfied.

A similarity representation of the velocity defect allows the Reynolds number to vary. Normally, the velocity defect is defined as $U_0 - U$, where $U_0$ is the velocity at the pipe axis. It is however convenient for our purpose to use $U - U_{ax}$ as a measure of the velocity defect, since $U_{ax}$ is measured more accurately than $U_0$. Also, the translation effect on the velocity defect profile produced by the sensitivity of $U_0$ makes it difficult to isolate other effects.

$U_{ax}$ was found by numerical integration of the measured axial velocity profile. It was checked by comparing the average value with that of the orifice meter. Agreement was typically within 3%.
The velocity defect profiles shown in figure 10 indicate the nature of the similarity in the core region. When the initial swirl was altered and the Reynolds number was also altered to keep the swirl angle at a given station constant, the velocity defect profile did not change significantly. Further, when velocity defect profiles measured at two stations, II and III, were compared for two different runs whose initial swirl and Reynolds numbers were adjusted to produce the same swirl angle, no significant change was observed in the core region.

Velocity defect profiles are shown on a logarithmic scale in figure 11 without an origin shift, and they indicate another effect of swirl. The profile remains logarithmic in the overlap region and there is no significant variation of the slope of the semi-log plot. But the curve is steadily displaced upwards with increasing swirl. The additive coefficient $B$ of the defect logarithmic law is thus dependent on the swirl angle $\phi_s$. Figure 16 shows that the coefficient $B$ varies approximately linearly with $\phi_s$.

The velocity defect profiles (figure 11) fall below the logarithmic law as the pipe axis is approached. This behaviour is in contrast to zero-swirl behaviour and it can also be seen from figure 8. Swirl may then be said to give rise to a 'negative wake component'.

Following the definition of Coles (1956),

$$U/U_w = f(Y/U_s/\nu) + IIk^{-1}W(Y/\delta),$$

where $I$ is a profile parameter and $W$ the wake function. $W(Y/\delta)$, subject to the normalizing conditions

$$\max |W(Y/\delta)| = W(1) = 2,$$

is plotted in figure 12. The experimental points show fair agreement with the universal wake function proposed by Coles.

Tangential velocity profiles are plotted in figure 13. They clearly show that, when the flow angle at a section is maintained constant and the initial swirl is varied, tangential velocity profiles do not change. The profile for the swirl angle of 13.5° has flow reversal at station I and will be discussed in part 2. The shape of the tangential velocity profiles is similar to those given in earlier investigations.
Figure 11. Axial velocity defect in overlap region. (o), no swirl, $Re = 2.59 \times 10^6$; □, $\phi_s = 9.2^\circ$, $Re = 0.42 \times 10^6$; ●, $\phi_s = 9.2^\circ$, $Re = 2.48 \times 10^6$; ●, $\phi_s = 13.5^\circ$, $Re = 2.36 \times 10^6$.

Figure 12. Wake function. ○, no swirl, $Re = 2.59 \times 10^6$; ●, $\phi_s = 9.2^\circ$, $Re = 0.42 \times 10^6$; □, $\phi_s = 13.5^\circ$, $Re = 2.36 \times 10^6$.

Figure 13. Tangential velocity profiles. ○, $\phi_s = 9.2^\circ$, $Re = 2.48 \times 10^6$; □, $\phi_s = 9.2^\circ$, $Re = 0.42 \times 10^6$; ●, $\phi_s = 13.5^\circ$, $Re = 2.36 \times 10^6$. $V'$ is the tangential velocity at $Y/R = 0.5$.
6.3. Skin-friction law

Figure 15 shows a semi-logarithmic plot of $1/\lambda$ vs. $Re/\lambda$. $\lambda$ was measured by Bradshaw's method and, as mentioned earlier, is close to the value obtained from pressure-drop measurements. The present results are compatible with the well-established law in absence of swirl. If the swirl angle $\phi_s$ at a section is increased, the curve retains its linear character and is displaced downwards, indicating an increase in the local skin friction.

The earlier data are not strictly comparable as they refer to average values of the skin friction and the swirl is specified differently. But figure 15 shows that the same trend can be found in the data of Smithberg & Landis (1964) and Koch (1958).

To show how the variation of flow direction compares with three-dimensional turbulent boundary layers, velocity vectors were plotted in a Johnson plot (figure 14). Clearly, the general trend is similar, but with increasing swirl, the slope becomes very steep near the axis and the peak becomes increasingly rounded.

If, at sufficiently large Reynolds numbers, the following representations hold in the overlap region:

$$U/U_b = k^{-1} \ln \left( \frac{YU_b}{\nu} \right) + A,$$

$$\frac{(U - U_{av})/U_b}{U_b} = k^{-1} \ln \left( \frac{Y}{b} \right) + B,$$

then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} = \left( \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4} k \right) \ln \left( \frac{Re}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) + C,$$

where

$$C = \left[ A - B - k^{-1} \ln 4 \sqrt{2} \right]/2 \sqrt{2}.$$

Here the coefficients $B$ and $C$ may depend on $\phi_s$. Thus the observations of the velocity profile in the overlap region imply a logarithmic skin-friction law whose additive coefficient depends on the swirl angle.

Figure 16 shows the variation of the coefficients $B$ and $C$ with the swirl angle. The former is obtained from the logarithmic velocity defect law and the latter from the logarithmic skin-friction plot. In both cases, least-square fits were used.

Clearly, the coefficients vary linearly with the swirl angle in the range of the
present experiments. Using a least-squares fit, we find that the following correlations fit the present measurements to a good approximation:

\[ B = 3.60 + 0.14\phi, \quad C = -0.77 - 0.05\phi. \]

The ratio of the slopes of the two curves for \( B \) and \( C \) vs. \( \phi \) is found to be \(-2.9\). The relation (7) implies a ratio of \(-2.9/2\). This close agreement supports the view that similarity representations are good approximations under the conditions of the experiment. It also provides an indirect indication of the accuracy of measurements.

REFERENCES


Koch, R. 1958 Druckverlauf und Wärmeübergang bei verdrehter Strömung. VDI-Forschungsheft, 124, 469.


