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INTRODUCTION

TARGET tracking problem is more difficult task if the target is maneuvering. Insensitivity of the Kalman filter to track the target whose behavior pattern keeps on changing with time has long been acknowledged and wide selections of methods have been developed to identify maneuvers and change the filter consequently [1]. An innovative approach called the Interacting Multiple Model Kalman Filter (IMMKF) has been developed that seems to present superior performance to other approaches for maneuvering target tracking [2-5]. The IMMKF uses several target motion models (i.e. constant velocity, constant acceleration, co-ordinate turn model etc.) and has been successfully applied to track large maneuvering targets.

In this paper, plot data from three sensors/radars whose detection probabilities are less than unity which inturn results in clutter are used to track a single aircraft executing a figure of eight in x-y plane. Data association is critical when the detection probability is less than unity in the presence of clutter or false alarm. One simple solution is nearest neighbor filter [6]. This fails when the false alarm rate increases or one encounter low observable maneuvering target. To solve this problem, all measurements within a gate are used instead of only one measurement resulting in probabilistic data association filter (PDAF) technique. In this paper an IMMPDAF which is a combination of IMMKF with PDAF is used to track the target. IMMPDAF algorithm is implemented in LabVIEW and tested for tracking a single aircraft using plot data coming from multiple sensors. Once the IMMPDAF algorithm is validated in LabVIEW, it can be easily realized in hardware for real time tracking applications.

IMMPDAF ALGORITHM

In this section the algorithmic detail of IMMPDAF which is a combination of IMMKF and PDAF is given.

Probabilistic Data Association [6,7]

The PDAF algorithm calculates the association probabilities for each valid measurement at the current time to the target of interest. This probabilistic information is used in a tracking filter (PDAF) that accounts for the measurement origin uncertainty. If there are m measurements falling within the gate at time and it is assumed that there is only one target of interest and track has been initialized, the association events

= {is the target originated measurement},

{none of the measurement is target originated},
are mutually exclusive and exhaustive for $A$. The probability that the valid measurement is target originated is

$$P(A) = 1 - P(A')$$

(1)

The probability that none of the measurements is target originated, defined as

$$P(A') = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(A_i')$$

(2)

where $A_i$ is the innovation for the validated measurement, is the innovation covariance, is the spatial density of the clutter, is the detection probability and $A_i'$ is the detection probability of the target-originated measurement falling inside the validation region.

The combined innovation is

$$\hat{z} = \hat{z} + \hat{w}$$

(3)

This combined innovation is added to the predicted measurement to get valid measurement.

**IMM Algorithm**

IMM uses several possible models for target’s motion and a probabilistic switching between these models. It is implemented with multiple parallel filters, where each of the filters corresponds to one of the assumed models. During each sampling period, all the filters in the IMM are in operation. The overall state estimate is a combination of the estimates from the individual filters. The LabVIEW implementation of IMM algorithm is shown in Fig-1.

**Mixing and Interaction**

For the event $A$, the mixed estimate and the covariance are computed as

$$\bar{z} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i \bar{z}_i$$

$$\hat{P} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i \hat{P}_i$$

(4)
The mixing probabilities are given by

\[ P(n_{t+1} | m_t) \]

where the predicted mode probability is computed by

\[ P(n_{t+1} | y_t, y_{t-1}) \]

The mode switching process is specified by the following transition probabilities, where denotes the probability of an event, which means is the probability that model at instant is switching over to model at instant.

**Kalman Filter**

Kalman filter is used with appropriate target motion models to update the mixed state estimates with current measurement. Separate filters are used for each mode. In each filter, the predictive part consists of the following equations:

Predicted state:

\[ \hat{x}_t | y_{t-1} = \bar{x}_t - \frac{\hat{P}_{x_x} \bar{P}_{x_y}}{\hat{P}_y} \]

Predicted measurement:

\[ \hat{y}_t = \hat{x}_t + \sqrt{\hat{P}_x \hat{y}_y} \]

Predicted state covariance:

\[ \hat{P}_x = \hat{P}_x - \frac{\hat{P}_{x_x} \bar{P}_{x_y} \bar{P}_{y_y}}{\hat{P}_y} \]

Innovation covariance:

\[ \bar{P}_{y_y} = \frac{\bar{P}_{y_x} \bar{P}_{y_y}}{\hat{P}_y} \]

The measurement update part consists of the following equations:

Filter gain:

\[ K_t = \frac{\hat{P}_x \bar{P}_{y_y}}{\hat{P}_y} \]

Innovation:

\[ \hat{y}_t = \hat{x}_t + \sqrt{\hat{P}_x \hat{y}_y} \]

Updated state estimate:

\[ \hat{x}_t = \hat{x}_t + K_t \hat{y}_t \]
Updated state covariance:

\[(14)\]

**Mode Probability Update**

The likelihood function for matched filter is Gaussian density function of innovation with zero mean and covariance. It is computed as

\[(15)\]

where denotes the dimension of the innovation vector.

The mode probability is updated using likelihood’s and the predicted mode probabilities for.

\[(16)\]

where the normalization factor

**State Estimate Combiner**

Finally the estimated states and covariance from each filter are combined using the updated mode probability to produce overall state estimate and the associated covariance.

\[(17)\]

\[(18)\]

PLOT DATA SIMULATION

Single fighter aircraft trajectory is simulated and the plot data of respective tracking radars are generated to test
the tracking algorithm. Initially the aircraft trajectory is simulated w.r.t reference point (latitude: ; longitude: ; altitude:) in local ENV (East-North-Vertical) frame at constant height (100m) using:

- constant velocity model during straight and level flight
- coordinated turn model during the turning phase of the flight [6]

Then based on the sensors specifications (i.e. location and its attributes), sensor models are built to transform the simulated aircraft trajectories into plot data.

Aircraft Trajectory Simulation

The aircraft trajectory is simulated (with 2 seconds sampling interval) in local ENV frame using discrete kinematic model. 

\begin{equation}
\text{(19)}
\end{equation}

where : vector of target states, : state transition matrix, : model index, : discrete time index and : total number of data points.

The state vector consists of position and velocity of the target (aircraft) in X and Y coordinates of ENV frame as at \( k \)-th scan. where, : position of target in coordinate (m), : velocity of target in coordinate (m/s), : position of target in coordinate (m), : velocity of target in coordinate (m/s) and turning rate of the target (rad/sec).

The state transition matrix for the non-maneuvering phase is:

And for the turning phase [6]
In present simulation, the angular rate is taken as 0.2618 rad/sec (i.e. 15° deg/sec). For left turn (LT), it is considered positive and for right turn (RT) is considered negative. T is the sampling interval and is taken as 2 seconds. The initial state vector and way points (turns) of aircraft are given in Table 1.

**Sensor Model**

Three sensors (radar-1, radar-2 and radar-3) are modelled to get the plot data using the information provided in the Table 2. The radar characteristics are representative of some radars used in tracking for air defense application. Sensor-1 outputs i.e. azimuth, elevation and range are shown in Fig-2.

**Data Conversion**

Since association requires the measurements from different sensors to be in common reference frame, the aircraft trajectory in ECEF frame is transformed to ENV frame w.r.t. sensor location as:

\[
(\text{20})
\]

The subscript indicates the sensor reference.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Implementation of IMMPDAF algorithm in LabVIEW is shown in Fig-3. The measurements from the three sensors at each scan are concatenated and used in measurement updation stage. The converted measurements that enter into the tracking algorithm are shown in Fig-4. The true and estimated target trajectories are shown in Fig-5. It is observed that the estimated trajectory is very close to the true trajectory even in dense clutter that shows the robustness of the IMMPDAF. The performance of the tracking algorithm is evaluated using standard procedure. It is found that the tracking algorithm is robust and the results are not presented here due to space constraints.

**CONCLUSION**

Real time IMMPDAF algorithm has been implemented and tested in LabVIEW. Single aircraft flight profiles have been simulated and the plot data from multiple radars observing the single aircraft are generated with noise as well as clutter. Since it is implemented and tested in LabVIEW, this algorithm can be easily realized in hardware for real time tracking applications.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SENSOR LOCATION IN WGS-84 FRAME</th>
<th>SPECIFICATIONS</th>
<th>SENSOR-1</th>
<th>SENSOR-2</th>
<th>SENSOR-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LATITUDE</td>
<td></td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LONGITUDE</td>
<td></td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALTITUDE</td>
<td>0 M</td>
<td>0 M</td>
<td>0 M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCURACIES</td>
<td>AZIMUTH</td>
<td>0.0880</td>
<td>0.0880</td>
<td>0.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELEVATION</td>
<td>0.0880</td>
<td>0.0880</td>
<td>0.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RANGE</td>
<td>120 M</td>
<td>120 M</td>
<td>250 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIELD OF VIEW</td>
<td>Azimuth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Km</td>
<td>Km</td>
<td>Km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection probability</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False alarm rate</td>
<td></td>
<td>10-10</td>
<td>10-10</td>
<td>10-11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"are standard deviations of azimuth, elevation and range respectively, is detection probability, is false alarm, , are azimuth, elevation and range FOV max. and min. respectively.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INITIAL STATE VECTOR</th>
<th>WAY POINTS (X, Y) IN KM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IS A STATE VECTOR. IS LEFT TURN AND RIGHT TURN
Fig. 2 Sensor outputs in azimuth, elevation and range

Fig. 5 Estimated target trajectory using IMM-PDAF tracking algorithm
Fig. 4 Converted measurements that enter in to the tracking algorithm

Fig. 3 IMM-PDAF algorithm implemented in LabVIEW
Fig. 5 Estimated target trajectory using IMM-PDAF tracking algorithm